

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,472	02/02/2001	Masaaki Hiroki	SEL 238	7144
75	90 10/17/2005	EXAMINER		
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO			CLEVELAND, MICHAEL B	
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 West Adams St., Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/776,472	HIROKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Cleveland	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is				
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 6,7,19,26,31 and 48-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6,7,19,26,31 and 48-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 071505, 090605.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/776,472

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/6/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 6-7, 19, 31, 48, and 50-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita et al. (WO98/24271, hereafter '271. References made are to the English equivalent, US Patent Application 2002/0041926) in view of Iguchi (WO98/27579, hereafter '579. References made are to the English equivalent US Patent Application 2002/0009536.) and Kasubuchi et al. (U.S. Patent 3,878,517, hereafter '517).

Application/Control Number: 09/776,472

Art Unit: 1762

Claims 6, 20, 31, and 37: '271 teaches filling an ink-jet nozzle with ink (an application liquid) for forming an electroluminescent (EL) layer and applying it to a pixel column (Abstract; Fig. 1).

'271 does not explicitly teach discharging the application liquid while the nozzle and pixel column are connected through the application liquid nor traversing by scanning along a direction parallel to a pixel column. The differently colored pixels of '271 appear to be small rectangles rather than elongated stripes (Fig. 8). However, '579 teaches that the differently colored areas of plasma displays (a particular type of electroluminescent displays), may be elongated stripes, which are printed by traversing a nozzle along the direction parallel to the barrier ribs ([0206]-[0207]), which are between, and therefore parallel to the underlying electrodes (Fig. 1; [0293]). '517 teaches that ink-jet printing using ultrasonic oscillations may be used to provide ink intermittently or to provide a continuously-discharged stream of droplets (col. 7, lines 6-24). However, '579 teaches that the deposited material in the pixel column may be connected to the nozzle via the liquid stream (Fig. 1). The selection of something based on its known suitability for its intended use has been held to support a *prima facie* case of obviousness. Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). See MPEP 2144.07. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used different colored pixels of elongated stripe shapes because '579 teaches that such is an operative embodiment of differently colored pixels for electroluminescent displays and to have deposited such stripes by a continuous stream because '579 teaches that a continuous stream connecting the nozzle and the pixel column may be used to deposit such stripes and because '517 teaches that ultrasonically-operated ink-jet printers are capable of providing continuous streams.

'579 teaches that when depositing electroluminescent material between partition walls of EL displays ([0001]-[0003]), it is desirable to maintain a constant distance between the substrate and the nozzles, and that such distance may be maintained by an element in contact with the partitions ([0246]-[0249]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a contact element in contact with the partition walls in order to have maintained a constant distance between the nozzle and the substrate during the coating process.

Art Unit: 1762

Application/Control Number: 09/776,472

Claim 7: '271 demonstrates that the orifice may have a smaller inside diameter than the rest of the nozzle (Fig. 11), but does not explicitly teach the provision of a heater on the orifice. '332 teaches that a heater may be provided to control the viscosity of the ink (col. 11, lines 5-26).

Claims 19, 51: '271 teaches that the ink-jet printer prints between partition walls (banks) (105) covering at least an edge portion of pixel electrodes (101, 102, 103). (Fig. 1; [0043]-[0050]).

Claim 50: '271 teaches that the banks may comprise resin [0046].

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita '271 in view of Iguchi '579 and Kasubuchi '517 as applied to claim 6 and 31 above, and further in view of Kurosawa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,057,647, hereafter '647).

'271, '579, and '517 are described above. '271 teaches that the EL elements may be deposited on top of thin film transistor (TFT) elements ([0015], [0134], [0138]) and teaches that the EL elements may be formed by forming pixel electrodes on a substrate and forming a bank overlapping the edges of the pixel electrodes on the pixel electrodes, as discussed above. '271 does not explicitly teach that a TFT is formed on a substrate, an insulating film is formed on the TFT, and the pixel electrodes (and then banks) are formed on the insulating film.

'647 teaches a method of depositing EL elements onto TFTs, in which TFTs (2, 3) are formed on substrate (31) and then insulating layer (52) is formed on the TFTs, followed by the anode (161) (as the pixel electrodes of '271) are anodes and partitions (63) (Fig. 14, col. 11, lines 1-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the configuration of '647 as the particular configuration to integrate the EL elements of '271 with the TFTs of '271 with a reasonable expectation of success because '647 teaches that that configuration is an operative method of using TFTs in conjunction with EL elements.

6. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyashita '271 in view of Iguchi '579 and Kasubuchi '517 as applied to claim 6, and further in view of Horike (U.S. Patent 4,281,332, hereafter '332),

Application/Control Number: 09/776,472 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

'271, '579, and '517 are described above, but do not explicitly teach that the ink is heated during discharge. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is very well known in the art of ink-jet printing to control the viscosity of the ink by heating it. See, e.g., '332, which teaches that a heater may be provided to control the viscosity of the ink (col. 11, lines 5-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have heated the ink during ink jet printing in order to have controlled the viscosity. '271 does not explicitly teach that the ink-jet nozzle works using ultrasonic oscillation, but instead teaches the use of a vibration pulse pressure dispenser (See [0083]-[0087]). '332 teaches a particular pulse pressure dispenser (col. 1, lines 6-11), which uses ultrasonic vibrations (i.e., oscillations) in order to provide pressure pulses (col. 3, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the ultrasonic vibrator of '332 as the particular vibrator of '271 with a reasonable expectation of success because '332 demonstrates that ultrasonic vibrations are capable of providing the pressure pulses to operate ink-jet printing nozzles.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's amendments overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph.

Applicant argues that '579 does not teach discharging liquid from a nozzle by contacting a contact element to a bank. The argument is unconvincing because '579 discharges its ink while contacting a contact element to the bank. Therefore, the contact is sufficient to allow the discharge of ink.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cleveland whose telephone number is (571) 272-1418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,472

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cleveland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

10/13/2005